Nº. 49164-8-II

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION II

STATE OF WASHINGTON Respondent,

V.

ROBERT REED, Appellant.

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Appeal from the Superior Court of Cowlitz County, Cause No. 14-1-00679-6 The Honorable Michael Evans, Presiding Judge

> Reed Speir WSBA No. 36270 Attorney for Appellant 3800 Bridgeport Way W., Ste. A #23 (253) 722-9767

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
A.	ARGUMENT	1
В.	CONCLUSION	2

Α. ARGUMENT

The State misrepresents Mr. Reed's argument on appeal and fails to reply to his actual argument.

In his Opening Brief, Mr. Reed argued that the prosecutor committed misconduct by making improper argument during closing argument. Mr. Reed raised this argument as part of his larger argument that his trial counsel was ineffective for failing to object to the prosecutor's improper argument.²

In its Response Brief, the State argues that "Reed waived his claim of prosecutor misconduct when he did not object to the prosecutor's closing argument...Although Reed did not object to the prosecutor's closing argument, he now claims misconduct for the first time on appeal."³ The State misrepresents Mr. Reed's true argument.

Mr. Reed and the State agree that Mr. Reed's trial counsel failed to object to the prosecutor's closing argument, and that failure of Mr. Reed's trial counsel to object to the State's improper closing argument waives a claim of prosecutorial misconduct on appeal. However, Mr. Reed challenges the prosecutor's closing argument in the context of a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel and cumulative error, not as a stand-alone claim of prosecutorial misconduct raised for the first time on appeal. The

Appellant's Opening Brief, p. 30-33.

² Appellant's Opening Brief, p. 33-34.

³ Respondent's Brief, p. 25.

State fails to address why it was not ineffective assistance of counsel for Mr. Reed's trial counsel to fail to object to the State's improper vouching for the credibility of J.R. based on facts not contained in the record.

B. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above and in Mr. Reed's Opening Brief, this court should vacate Mr. Reed's convictions and remand his case for a new trial.

DATED this 24th day of April, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Reed Speir, WSBA No. 36270

Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Reed Speir hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that on the 24th day of April, 2014, I delivered a true and correct copy of the Brief of Appellant to which this certificate is attached by United States Mail, to the following:

Eric H Bentson Cowlitz County Prosecutor's Office 312 SW 1st Ave Rm 105 Hall Of Justice Kelso WA 98626-1799

And to:

Robert Richard Reed DOC#962347 Coyote Ridge Corrections Center P.O. Box 769 Connell WA 99326

Signed at Tacoma, Washington this 24th day of April, 2017.

Reed Speir, WSBA No. 36270

LAW OFFICE OF REED SPEIR April 24, 2017 - 11:47 AM Transmittal Letter

Document Uploaded:	7-491648-Reply Brief.pdf				
Case Name:	State v. Reed				
Court of Appeals Case Number:	49164-8				
Is this a Personal Restraint Per	tition? Yes • No				
The document being Filed is:					
Designation of Clerk's	Papers Supplemental Designation of Clerk's Papers				
Statement of Arrangements					
Motion:					
Answer/Reply to Motion:					
Brief: Reply					
Statement of Additional Authorities Cost Bill Objection to Cost Bill Affidavit					
			Letter		
			Copy of Verbatim Report of Proceedings - No. of Volumes: Hearing Date(s):		
			Personal Restraint Petition (PRP)		
Response to Personal R	Response to Personal Restraint Petition				
Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition					
Petition for Review (PRV)					
Other:					
Comments:					
No Comments were entered	l.				
Sender Name: Reed M Spei	ir - Email: <u>reedspeirlaw@seanet.com</u>				
A copy of this document has	been emailed to the following addresses:				
bentsone@co.cowlitz.wa.us					

Appeals@co.cowlitz.wa.us